

**REMARKS**

Reconsideration of the above-identified application is respectfully requested. The Examiner rejected claims 2, 4-7 and 16-17 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter of the invention. The Examiner pointed to claim 16, which recited that the claim was directed to a shutter which did not fairly set forth the metes and bounds of the claim. The Examiner had also indicated that claims 8-10 and claims 5-6, which were dependent upon rejected claim 16 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112.

Newly presented claims 20 and 21 are old claims 5 and 6 in independent form and have been amended to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112 and therefore are considered to be allowable. Claims 8-10 are also in the application and were allowed by the Examiner in the last Office Action. Claims 2, 4 and 7 have been amended to be dependent on newly presented claims 20 and 21 and are therefore believed to be in condition for allowance. Claims 18 and 19 have been canceled.

Therefore it is believed that the only claims remaining are those which are in allowable consideration.

**CONCLUSION**

Accordingly, in view of the above amendments and remarks, favorable reconsideration and allowance of the application are respectfully requested.

Enclosed is our check in the amount of \$950.00, the requisite fee associated with a three month extension of time to respond to this Office Action. Please charge any additional fees that may be needed, and credit any overpayment, to our Deposit Account No. 50-0320.

Respectfully submitted,

FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG LLP  
Attorneys for Applicant

By 

Leonard J. Santisi  
Reg. No. 24,135  
(858) 731-5000